1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6	SAP AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, No. C 07-04187 JCS
7	Plainitff(s), NOTICE OF IMPENDING REASSIGNMENT TO A UNITED STATES
8	v. DISTRICT JUDGE
9	I2 TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
10	Defendant(s).
11	
12	The Clerk of this Court will now randomly reassign this case to a United States District
13	Judge because:
14	[X] One or more of the parties has requested reassignment to a United States District
15	Judge or has not consented to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge.
16	[] One or more of the parties has sought a type of judicial action (e.g., a temporary
17	restraining order) that a United States Magistrate Judge may not take without the consent of all
18	parties, the necessary consents have not been secured, and time is of the essence.
19	ALL HEARING DATES PRESENTLY SCHEDULED BEFORE MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20	SPERO ARE VACATED AND SHOULD BE RENOTICED FOR HEARING BEFORE THE
21	JUDGE TO WHOM THIS CASE HAS BEEN REASSIGNED.
22	
23	Dated: October 17, 2007 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
24	United States District Court
25	By: Karen L. Hom
26	Courtroom Deputy
27	cc: Intake
28	